

LAST EDITED: 16 / 06 / 2012

UPDATE - **Nancy's expected response and CASE 5 - "Fake Maria":**

<http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/disgruntled-former-moderator>

As suspected Nancy is now claiming I was a victim of "Remote Viewing" in order to let people believe that these obvious contradictions to reality ,i.e the analysis below, couldn't be true, given the "Accuracy" she collected over the years. (Her best argument, since she managed to include a lot of authentic information into her claims, however, **they still remain wrong in detail.** :)

SUCH AS SHE WANTS YOU TO BELIEVE ANYTHING, BUT READING AND DRAWING YOUR OWN CONCLUSIONS!

As usual:

If Nancy gets confronted with the truth she either accepts it quietly, or she hunts you down by using her daily means of Manipulation:

- **Bringing up your ego or human status** (as she'd probably love to do in my case)
- **or something with yourself as an argument** (which is no argument at all),
- **or letting you look bad in any way to put you on the defense,**
- **bring up previous „ZetaTalks“ to underline her „validity“ (though they mostly dont adress the cases altogether),**
- **or suggesting the Moderators constant „Remote viewing attacks“ (as she hinted on this blog: <http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/new-zetataalk-on-psyhic-attacks-from-remote-viewers-are-you-a-key>) to suggest them that any negative thoughts they have about ZT are not their own which lets them actively suppress them altogether,**
- **or constant suggestion of mind reading ET's in order to create more blockades in the mind to discard any further negative thoughts about Zetataalk,**
- **....and many more techniques which are not so important at this point.**

In all: Means of manipulation to stop you questioning ZT and blindly believe anything Nancy says.

and,

What (of course) was to to be expected from Nancy?

She will come-up with some reason to discard what I am writing here, not adress it at all, or claim that im a blackOps or some other BS (which is unlikely to occur because she knows I can prove my identity if needed).

Or she might be claiming that I was being „Remove viewed“ and was set on a mission to spread „Doubts“ among the Moderators and the public, so basically her usual mind-tricks to dont get you question it altogether or discard any more research.

She will say anything, **but the truth.**

Cases 5 - "Fake Maria"


Im worrying for the person involved, but Nancy choosed to reveal her identity in advance.

So whats all about this "Fake Maria"?

Well, whenever a former Moderator starts developing doubts, they are often claimed to be “BlackOPs” to have the Moderators avoid any contact with these individuals. However I was not so dumb to blindly follow this claim, and well, had a webcam-conversation with the supposed “Fake Maria”, which of course was no fake at all... (And was able to provide previous Information I had with her, since I skyped with her a couple of times in the past).

Well now Nancy officially admitted that “Maria” is supposed to be a Fake, and this is another Lie that will be exposed. She even provided the Image herself, with her proposed “sharp teeth analysis”, where everyone can clearly see that they are one and the same.. But hey, am I suggesting something to you, like Nancy does?

Decide for yourself (on the left her previous avatar on the Ning, and behind a screenshot of the Skype I had with her):



LAST EDITED: 16 / 06 / 2012