

New here? Scroll down until you see “**the Story**” chapter, and the updates are posted chronological with newest on top.

Discussions and reactions about Nancy’s Exposal:

<https://www.facebook.com/pages/Earth-Changes-Pole-Shift/160997177261147>

(owned by myself)

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1899799/pg1>

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1900250/pg1>

<http://earthchanges.ning.com/profiles/blogs/another-one-bites-the-dust>

21 / 06 / 2012 (04:48 UTC+1) - **UPDATE: Real Deal?**

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1149282/pg1>

A short sum of the link above,

I received this message in my inbox today at Nancy lieders site poleshift.ning.com

Dear friends,

What I am about to tell you is very difficult. A few months ago someone posted a video on here of a camera tied to a balloon that, according to Nancy, the Zeta's said captured planet x. I knew this was a lens flare the second I saw it and expected Nancy and the Zetas to realize the same. Needless to say I was quite shocked when they said it was real.

[...]

I decided I had to put Nancy and her Zeta's to the test. Thanks to a talented friend of mine, I planted a photo on here this week that supposedly captured px. This photo was confirmed to be the real thing by the Zeta's...at least thats what Nancy said. The photo was not real...it was photo shopped. This link will take you to the original photo - with NO PX in it!

<http://www.livingbeing.com/catskills.htm>

[...]

“Confirmation” by Nancy (the Zetas) that the supposed Second-Sun image is the Real Deal on the 31. July 2010 Q&A:

<http://zetatalk.com/ning/31jy2010.htm>

“This is a genuine capture of Planet X on film, in the [Monster Sun](#) category. This is absolutely captured on film, as occurred in 2003, and is also visible naked eye.”

So what about these images?

Original



Photoshopped:



I guess there's at least no doubt about that point... :)

Were there indications in advance that Nancy was going to deal with a photoshopped image that correlates with the claims in the letter?

YES! The history itself shows that members noticed the image was seemingly processed with Adobe Photoshop C3 by checking the "Exif-data", the fingerprint of digital images!

<http://regex.info/exif.cgi>

Don (Analyst 2) "Jezz, you didn't take this with a digital camera, did you? I too noticed, after Waveguide (Analyst 1) pointed it out, that it references Adobe Photoshop C3..... and no camera name, model #, etc. Also, it's saved at 72 dpi, which indicates it probably was not shot with a digital. The 10-day time frame would account for developing the film and then scanning it. Am I right? I do know that some folks might look askance at the info in "Properties", as Waveguide points out."

Yet Nancy confirmed the Image to be the Real Deal!

So lets analyse the original image,

Are there indications that the original image is authentic?

<http://www.livingbeing.com/images502/catskillsunset2.jpg>

YES! If you check the properties of this image you will notice it was last edited on **12th June 2003, 07:14:24**

Did that Website showed that image back in 2003 already?

YES again! The Web-archives confirm,
<http://web.archive.org/web/20030805222023/http://livingbeing.com/catskills.htm>
the image is there!

Conclusion:

It is extremely unlikely that someone placed that image on a server in 2003, while waiting Seven more years (until 2010) to come up with the “real” image showing a Second Sun. The image Nancy dealt with even showed indications of being photoshopped in advance, yet she confirmed it to be the real deal!

btw, I am working on Case 6 & 7 and need to say that this story has achieved an immense absurdity.. (just my opinion) More to follow...

20 / 06 / 2012 (05:06 UTC+1) - **UPDATE: “Butterfly-effect”**

Just came across this one from 2010:

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1149282/pg1>

Read and draw your conclusions as usual :)

I can confirm the case and that Cheryl Nelson was a former PS-Ning Moderator through backups from the Admin Ning if needed. (@ Cheryl, I know you managed to have Nancy remove that admin-discussion due to copyright infringement etc...however I still have a copy of it, if you dont mind ;)

20 / 06 / 2012 (04:18 UTC+1) - **UPDATE: Business as usual while sorting the wheat from the chaff**

Nancy is seemingly running business as usual though encountering unwanted skepsis on her Q&A sessions or members on the PS-Ning in general..

While all seems silent, people are connecting the dots and drawing conclusions for themselves. Every human naturally understands that blindly following claims cannot be right, such as many are still pondering about the dimensions this story has achieved.

As from my part, more is to come...

However, the Moderator’s seemed to have pushed their “member-purging” again, <http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/important-message-to-all-members-of-this-ning> which was originally brought up by Nancy as per her own words on the admin-discussion:

“[...] The goal is not only to learn something about our members, but to put THEM on the defensive. It should not be WE who are on the defensive, feeling bad because we have denied them free range in this, Gerard's "publication", but rather ask them why they should have the right to post!”

Hmm..... well, you decide ;)