

New here? Scroll down until you see “**the Story**” chapter, and the updates are posted chronological with newest on top.

Discussions and reactions about Nancy’s Exposal:

<https://www.facebook.com/pages/Earth-Changes-Pole-Shift/160997177261147>

(owned by myself)

<http://bit.ly/NleCOh>

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message814739/pg1065>

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1899799/pg1>

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1900250/pg1>

<http://earthchanges.ning.com/profiles/blogs/another-one-bites-the-dust>

Want to Contact me? <https://www.facebook.com/PlanetExe> // service.giga(at)gmail.com

13 / 07 / 2012 (18:26 UTC+1) - QUICK UPDATE: “*When Prophecy Fails*”

I'd like to bring up this excellent reference that someone on GLP brought up:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/When_Prophecy_Fails

Quoted from Wikipedia:

When Prophecy Fails is a 1956 classic book in [social psychology](#) by [Leon Festinger](#), Henry Riecken, and [Stanley Schachter](#) about a [UFO religion](#) that believes the [end of the world](#) is at hand.

Cognitive dissonance

Festinger's theory of [cognitive dissonance](#) can account for the psychological consequences of disconfirmed expectations. One of the first published cases of dissonance was reported in the book, *When Prophecy Fails* (Festinger et al. 1956). Festinger and his associates read an interesting item in their local newspaper headlined "Prophecy from planet Clarion call to city: flee that flood." A housewife from Chicago (changed to "Michigan" in the book), given the name "Marian Keech" (real name: Dorothy Martin (1900–1992), later known as Sister Thedra^[1]), had mysteriously been given messages in her house in the form of "automatic writing" from alien beings on the planet Clarion. These messages revealed that the world would end in a great flood before dawn on December 21, 1954. Mrs. Keech had previously been involved with [L. Ron Hubbard's Dianetics](#) movement, and her cult incorporated ideas from what was to become [Scientology](#).^[2] The group of believers, headed by Keech, had taken strong behavioral steps to indicate their degree of commitment to the belief. They had left jobs, college, and spouses, and had given away money and possessions to prepare for their departure on the [flying saucer](#), which was to rescue the group of true believers.

Premise of study

Festinger and his colleagues saw this as a case that would lead to the arousal of [dissonance](#) when the prophecy failed. Altering the belief would be difficult, as Keech and her group were committed at considerable expense to maintain it. Another option would be to enlist social support for their belief. As Festinger wrote, "If more and more people can be persuaded that the system of belief is correct, then clearly it must after all be correct." In this case, if Keech could add [consonant](#) elements by converting others to the basic premise, then the magnitude of her dissonance following [disconfirmation](#) would be reduced. Festinger and his colleagues predicted that the inevitable disconfirmation would be followed by an [enthusiastic](#) effort at [proselytizing](#) to seek social support and lessen the pain of disconfirmation.

Sequence of events

Festinger and his colleagues [infiltrated](#) Keech's group and reported the following sequence of events:

- Prior to December 20. The group shuns publicity. Interviews are given only grudgingly. Access to Keech's house is only provided to those who can convince the group that they are true believers. The group evolves a belief system—provided by the automatic writing from the planet Clarion—to explain the details of the cataclysm, the reason for its occurrence, and the manner in which the group would be saved from the disaster.
- December 20. The group expects a visitor from outer space to call upon them at midnight and to escort them to a waiting spacecraft. As instructed, the group goes to great lengths to remove all metallic items from their persons. As midnight approaches, zippers, bra straps, and other objects are discarded. The group waits.
- 12:05 A.M., December 21. No visitor. Someone in the group notices that another clock in the room shows 11:55. The group agrees that it is not yet midnight.
- 12:10 A.M. The second clock strikes midnight. Still no visitor. The group sits in stunned silence. The cataclysm itself is no more than seven hours away.
- 4:00 A.M. The group has been sitting in stunned silence. A few attempts at finding explanations have failed. Keech begins to cry.
- 4:45 A.M. Another message by automatic writing is sent to Keech. It states, in effect, that the God of Earth has decided to spare the planet from destruction. The cataclysm has been called off: "The little group, sitting all night long, had spread so much light that God had saved the world from destruction."
- Afternoon, December 21. Newspapers are called; interviews are sought. In a reversal of its previous distaste for publicity, the group begins an urgent campaign to spread its message to as broad an audience as possible.

Conditions

Festinger stated that five conditions must be present, if someone is to become a more fervent believer after a failure or disconfirmation:

- A belief must be held with deep conviction and it must have some relevance to action, that is, to what the believer does or how he behaves.
- The person holding the belief must have committed himself to it; that is, for the sake of his belief, he must have taken some important action that is difficult to undo. In general, the more important such actions are, and the more difficult they are to undo, the greater is the individual's commitment to the belief.
- The belief must be sufficiently specific and sufficiently concerned with the real world so that events may unequivocally refute the belief.
- Such undeniable disconfirmatory evidence must occur and must be recognized by the individual holding the belief.
- The individual believer must have social support. It is unlikely that one isolated believer could withstand the kind of disconfirming evidence that has been specified. If, however, the believer is a member of a group of convinced persons who can support one another, the belief may be maintained and the believers may attempt to proselytize or persuade nonmembers that the belief is correct.

Now compare this to Nancy's (optimized) version aka. "ZT".
The remaining Moderators should seriously ask themselves if they fit into the conditions of a fervent believer after a failure or disconfirmation.

10 / 07 / 2012 (23:12 UTC+1) - QUICK UPDATE: Misc-Information

Im on vacation for a week so I won't be too active,
however the public is doing a **great job** in exposing Nancy's lies! Check the debunker's paradise:

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1920415/pg1#32143963>

Fortunately Nancy is dumb enough to make too many mistakes these days so she's basically debunking herself..

Did you saw how Nancy tries to present herself as the poor victim, admitting she is posting disinfo (supposedly to "disarm the establishment")?

<http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/planet-x-moons-visible-in-light-from-venus>

Comment by *Nancy Lieder* yesterday

*Sorry for the wild ride folks! But we are expecting signs in the skies. This was, you note, NancyTalk, not ZetaTalk. The initial photos did not show stars in the background, and until videos came in same time frame showing more stars, the patterns did not line up. **Does Nancy stumble? Heck yes, I'm only HUMAN after all. And sometimes the Zetas do not alert me to this as it is done on purpose to mislead or disarm the establishment.***

So the "wrong-Venus-transite"-lie was intended to disarm the establishment?

The "Earth is halted in its orbit"-lie intended to disarm the establishment?

The supposed Dark Twin on Neumayer 3 intended to disarm the establishment?

The supposed PX debris on SOHO EIT 171 intended to disarm the establishment?

The supposed PX captures intended to disarm the establishment?

....

....

....

hah hah! Seems like a desperate (poor) attempt to cover-up your own lies, Nancy!

BTW: Nice to see that you read this document carefully aka. using "**You decide**" or "**I'm only HUMAN after all**", although I wouldn't expect too much from people which are not buying your BS anymore!

And yes, more nasty secrets are to be exposed soon....

Enjoy your skin "*unique emissary*" ;) !

BTW²: Nice job, Greg, in exposing the latest "PX-Moon-Swirl-Venus-BS"

(and congratulations to the Moderators for not censoring simple facts!)

<http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/planet-x-moons-visible-in-light-from-venus>

Stellarium compared to Video:

Set location Hawaii, Date Time: 12-07-04 4:15.

Top down alignment: Pleiades, Jupiter, Venus, Aldebaran



Nancy is obviously rather desperate these days.... she never claimed something to be a moon-swirl even though it is far far away from the sun. She's jumping on everything that seems "legitimate" these days.... grasping at straws (because she invested more than 15 years into her BS and doesn't want to quit it).

08 / 07 / 2012 (00:32 UTC+1) - UPDATE: Venus sine-wave wobble? Proof of the Earth-wobble?


I will try to make it short:

Nancy is trying to prepare the public for her failed claims about the Venus-transite.

Beside she already confirmed the Proba-2 images per the Zetas (as explained in Case 3 far below in this document), she is now claiming that the only (supposedly) wrong about Venus was the "sine wave wobble" shown on the SDO overlay:

<http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/7-of-10-status-as-of-july-5-2012>

*"Poor blind NASA, too busy looking into the distance to notice what was causing a daily Earth wobble, fireballs screaming in, **a sine wave wobble in Venus during the recent transit**, and extreme twisting in the Earth's magnetosphere. "*




So whats the deal with the obvious sine-wave shown on the satellite images?

Once again Nancy did not bothered to check where these images are coming from, or furthermore what orbital-behaviour lies behind these images.

What is the orbit of SDO?


<http://www.heavens-above.com/orbit.aspx?satid=36395>





<http://www.flickr.com/photos/gsfrc/3635846225/>
http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=tLy8Ls32-g
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zbnVwTAD5WU> (A lunar transit on SDO, confirming the inclined orbit)

Now imagine you'd be sitting on the SDO satellite making images of the Venus-transite. The satellite has an inclination of 27.8307° to the equator which produces the figure eight



as seen from earth.

What do you think would the Venus-overlay later look like, when you were traveling on an 27.8307° inclined orbit?

A SINE WAVE!

The same applies for the alleged "Proof of the Earth Wobble"-blog that the Moderators pushed recently,

<http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/proof-of-the-earth-wobble>

Some Members noticed that the FY-2D images were seemingly showing the earth

wobbling so assumed this must be “*Proof of the earth wobble*”.


Check out this gif-animation for the “phenomena”:

http://www.imagebanana.com/view/uu349zpq/FY2D_tmp.gif

What do the images show?

A perfect **figure eight** “wobble” every 24 hours.

Where do these images come from?



<http://www.heavens-above.com/orbit.aspx?satid=29640>

From the Fengyun-2D-sattelite traveling on a geostationary orbit with **an inclination of 2.4051°** which means that the sattelite is not perfectly aligned with the earths-equator so produces a sligh **figure-eight aswell (when seen from earth)**.

Fengyun-2D also has **1.00269488 revolutions** per day which means that it roughly travels once around the earth in 24 hours... So all images from this sattelite would need to show a “figure eight wobble” every 24 hours.

Is this the case?

YES! http://www.imagebanana.com/view/uu349zpq/FY2D_tmp.gif

Also check-out this animation provided by bob_909 from GLP:

<http://www.zetasquawk.com/FY-2D.gif>

So what do the “Venus-sine-wave-wobble” and the “proof of the earth wobble” actually prove?

**That the sattelites are exactly doing what they should be doing!
(And that some people just jump on conclusions)**

Thanks for the support misconceived-debunkers, actually just people who bother to dig deeper into claims rather than blindly trusting.

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message814739/pg1071>