

New here? Scroll down until you see “**the Story**” chapter, and the updates are posted chronological with newest on top.

Discussions and reactions about Nancy’s Exposal:

<https://www.facebook.com/pages/Earth-Changes-Pole-Shift/160997177261147> (owned by myself)

<http://bit.ly/NleCOh>

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message814739/pg1065>

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1899799/pg1>

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1900250/pg1>

<http://earthchanges.ning.com/profiles/blogs/another-one-bites-the-dust>

Want to Contact me? <https://www.facebook.com/PlanetExe> // service.giga(at)gmail.com

21 / 07 / 2012 (02:49 UTC+1) - QUICK UPDATE: **Buffer-Overflow**

The following came in suprisingly. People on GLP noticed that Nancy’s latest ZT Q&A brought up obvious erroneous claims once again:

www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message814739/pg1067

Billy Madison wrote:

I have to admit that the latest zeta talk Q and A has failed to impress. In fact I'm terribly disappointed. **A video of the occultation of Jupiter being passed off by Nancy as a "hoax"… Even I could read the Youtube comments and do a quick google search to find out what was going on.**

What is Billy is talking about?

A video popped up showing something near the moon. As will be obvious from the ZT, Nancy didnt really had an idea what this might be or if the video is real at all!


Simply reading the comments of the video, like Billy did, could have brought her to the answer! <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ssPYi26zqtQ>

Lets look at her ZT-claims:

<http://www.zetatalk.com/ning/21jy2012.htm>

A video was recently uploaded to the internet, shot by a camera, undescribed. Apparently depicting an object in the vicinity of the Moon, or at least at a similar declination etc as the Moon north pole: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ssPYi26zqtQ>

Wolverhampton UK on July 15, 2012. Would the Zetas please give their unique insight on this?



SOZT

On can see upon close examination of the video that the orb in question is not solid, but light. The solid Moon retains its appearance when the camera jiggles, where the orb has a delayed reaction to being moved, appearing smeared. Camera lens record the light over a period of time, and thus when the camera is being moved an object can appear in more than one place on the lens. When some objects move, and others remain solid, this indicates they have a different *source*. The jiggling of the camera is intended to imply a nervous photographer, a hand held device, and thus imply legitimacy. **Could the Moon be filmed from behind glass? This is not evident from the video, but this is the case, with a light laser pointed at the where the tip of the Moon crescent would appear. The distance between the cam and the Moon, and the cam and the window, differ. Thus in a zoom, there is an adjustment to one, but not the other. This is a fraud.**

EOZT

So Nancy (actually per the “Zetas”) is claiming:

- that someone is filming the moon behind a window
- and the supposed “object” is originating from someone else aiming a “light laser-pointer” at “where the tip of the Moon crescent would appear” on the window.

Um. okay..

On the contrary:

[Halcyon Dayz, FCD](#) from GLP brought up these details about the Jupiter-occultation:

All the occultations and eclipses happening on schedule like clockwork is all the evidence one needs to determine that Earth hasn't halted in its orbit.

<http://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap120720.html>

Such as **[Hydra](#)** addressed it more detailed here:

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1925698/pg1>

Ad hoc: <http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1931162/pg1>

Quoted from: <http://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap120720.html>



Moon Meets Jupiter

Image Credit & **Copyright:** [Cristian Fattinnanzi](#)

Explanation: [Skygazers](#) around planet Earth enjoyed the **close encounter of planets and Moon in July 15's predawn skies**. And while many saw bright Jupiter next to the slender, waning crescent, **Europeans** also had the [opportunity to watch](#) the [ruling gas giant](#) pass behind the lunar disk, occulted by the Moon as it slid through the night. Clouds threaten in this telescopic view from Montecassiano, Italy, but the frame still captures Jupiter after it emerged from the occultation along with all four of its large [Galilean](#) moons. The sunlit crescent is overexposed with the Moon's night side faintly illuminated by [Earthshine](#). Lined up left to right beyond the dark lunar limb are Callisto, Ganymede, Jupiter, Io, and Europa. [In fact](#), Callisto, Ganymede, and Io are larger than Earth's Moon, while [Europa is](#) only slightly smaller.

Could the "planet-like-object" in the video (that Nancy claimed a fraud) be the occultation of Jupiter?

If the video was taken around July 15, 2012 in a visible area (like Europe), yes. Most likely.

What are the details of the video saying?

Title: Planet Like Object Near The Moon [15/07/2012](#), [Wolverhampton UK](#)
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ssPYi26zqtQ>

Coincidence?

Nope. The video is real and Nancy is obviously exhausted.

20 / 07 / 2012 (23:12 UTC+1) - UPDATE: On thin ice / Infos about Case 7

Time for another update, isn't it?

Firstoff, what's going on at the PS-Ning?

Obviously, business as usual... However a lot of obvious (false) claims have been pushed recently while the Moderators wiped out the majority of their fellow members.

From **5291** members, **1512 are currently left.**
<http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/members/> (72 pages x 21 members)

Why the heck did the Moderators removed all these Members?

Well, since I originally designed their "*Important message to all members of this Ning*"-posting, I know the rest of the story aswell.

As I adressed in the 20/6 Update, the purpose of the "member-purging" was detailed by Nancy on the admin-Ning:

*"[...] The goal is not only to learn something about our members, but **to put THEM on the defensive.** It should not be WE who are on the defensive, feeling bad because we have denied them free range in this, Gerard's "publication", but rather ask them **why they should have the right to post!**"*

If you can read between the lines you'll notice that this is yet another step to ensure a "closer circle", a more oppressive attitude, while putting new members on the defensive right from the start.

"Why should you have a right to post doubts or anything contradicting ZT, when it allegedly has so much accuracy?" - goes the thinking desired.

Was the purging really necessary?

Not at all. The appeal that I made to the remaining Moderators on the 21/6 Update was ignored completely even though these members ment ABSOLUTELY NO HARM! However it should **not be a surprise** that they purged the members anyway since Nancy certainly would have a HUGE INTEREST in having all these members removed which recieved my broadcast-email before I resigned as a Moderator.

Now Nancy brags for a "fresh-membership" in the hope that they are free from any information that could reveal the truth about herself, such as she is discussing the issue with the remaining Moderators to find out how they can prevent such "takeovers" in the future.

Yes, Nancy learns from her mistakes and wants anything but quitting her lying at people. *(She is the last to be expected to "grow up" and admit what she's doing..)*

What are the false claims that were pushed on the PS-Ning recently?

As you may noticed Nancy recieved some images from his favorite spokes-puppet Gordon G. Gianninoto supposedly "proving the Earth Wobble". Or not?

BTW: Gordon is the guy that in Nancy's mind can be used as a spokesperson (since he points to ZT and all), though is considered too ego for any other task:

Per her own words from the Admin-"Watch" about Gordon:

Reply by Nancy Lieder on May 27, 2010 at 8:35pm

I know him, but would scarcely call him a friend. He emails me constantly, but it is in the context of HIS statements to dozens of people he feels should know about his opinion on things. He may have been in the GLP chats but not as a registered identity, not that I recall. When learning that GLP chats would stop, he immediately mailed me 9 questions and by the time he got here (where he was directed to go by me) it had arisen to 12. Most of these were just tacked on so he could be piggy about it, my take, not well thought out.

I agree with (Moderator 4) to give him enough rope to hang himself. I think he will realize he is not king in this environment, and like most bullies will pull back. If not, private advice (and I would probably be the vehicle for that) should be applied. He obviously values ZetaTalk, and I refused, flat out, to take his questions via email. My words "I didn't stop doing the chats on GLP to start taking questions via email". Maybe he's insecure? Afraid of being cut out of the action altogether? He is getting 3 questions addressed, so may settle down. He may try to compete, instead, by becoming the ZetaTalk expert and you know, that might not be bad.

I note when challenged to quote where the Zetas said the dispurants were harmless, he found the Zeta quote and posted them, proving me right and he wrong! So not altogether hopeless, there is a curve here.

and:

Reply by Nancy Lieder on November 11, 2010 at 12:35pm

Gordon has written to me that he will be on C2C also. Thus, if he is getting out there on the media (his fervent desire) and is such an advocate of ZT (he heavily values their advice and takes them dead serious) we should NOT suspend him!

I would treat him like we do Mr. X, as an excentric, with problems, but overall a contributor. Oh, I forgot the EGO! Big egos, some of these guys.

WOW! How STO from Nancy... isn't it?

Looks like Gordon has potential to become another blind follower...

Oh Right! He's one already and voluntarily spreading Nancy's claims in his name. (Sorry dude, you need to wake up aswell!)

So lets get back to Gordon's latest input: <http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/wobble-moon-capture-by-gordon-james-gianninoto>

Two images of the Moon in a close intervall are supposedly showing the "earth's wobble":

<http://api.ning.com/files/amAAaCyhBa7A2h6ZAbbMTrVg9WUO3Z2wjtWbVxx6y3->

xY8bl7RHhE*LUCBb1Ha*8sJK0eWytEs1yt3GYMz9GFQiuajRKGHXT/AnimationWizard2.gif

What do these images show?

Clearly the one image is **wiggled** and the Ningsters take it for granted that it must be showing the Moon wobbling. (Why? Because Nancy says so!)

Yes, you've seen correctly. They have such a "solid" proof these days that all they have to offer is a set of wiggled images!

Hilarious!

What else?

Remember Nancy's claims about the alleged "Dark Twin appearance on Neumayer 3"?

If you aren't familiar with it I'd suggest to read *Case 1* in the Story-chapter far below to understand why Nancy failed so utterly on this one..

Now recently someone brought it up again per chance and guess what,

Nancy makes the same old mistakes again!

From her latest 7/10 status: <http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/7-of-10-status-as-of-july-19-2012>

*Clearly this dim orb is not the Moon nor is it Venus, as a quick check on Skymap shows. **At 21:10 UTC the cam is looking West, the Moon is in the East, and Jupiter and Venus are below the horizon on the West, not visible from this S Pole location.***


Now is the cam really looking West?

NO WAY! lol

As was proven before, **the cam is actually pointing South-East! NOT WEST.** (Got it this time Nancy?)

A fellow GLPer, Hydra, addressed this correctly here:

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1929210/pg1>



“Well, Poleshit Nancy - **the camera is not looking towards West - it's looking to the South-East, exactly 143°.**

You can compare the constellations in the photo above with a star chart for prove.”

Case 7? What is to be expected?

Well some of you surely remember when Nancy claimed CIA-funded Black-Ops deleted over three hundred members on the PS-Ning. If you missed it, don't worry as its still available here: <http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/ecapsning-attacked-by-black-op>

Were members really deleted at that day?

Yeah!

By the CIA or a black-Ops how Nancy claimed?

Nope!

On that very day (before the members were deleted) there was a heated discussion on the Admin-Ning.

The former longtime-moderator Ken Harris had some disagreements with other Moderators and openly discussed them on the admin-chat.

At some point Ken disappeared from the discussion and shortly after that the other Moderators noticed that member-numbers were dropping.

Accordinly several admin-rights were instantly taken away to stop the deletions.

What occured?

According to Nancy, alleged “CIA-blackOps” took advantage of the situation deleting members “*to stir the pot*”.

Per ZT on the admin-Ning:

SOZT

The black ops we referred to DID have Ken's password as Ken is not attached to the Internet via land line but using wifi at point, thus an intercept is possible. It will frankly be impossible to prevent password theft from this group, who are expert. They could take any mod's password, if they set out to do so. It is not deleting the entire membership that is the goal, at present, as that would be too obvious and in fact enhance the ning's reputation. The goal of the black ops is to divide the mod group, destroy the cohesive cooperation among the mods. Thus, when Ken was online they began deleting members to stir the pot, to create heated argument and finger pointing, which did occur. Neither Ken nor Lothar deleted members, and certainly Lothar should be reinstated.

EOZT

So Nancy was basically saying, it wasn't Ken. (She thought this would be a safe-claim since Ken was not a Moderator anymore and left the Nings).

Anything else was considered by the Mods but Ken doing it himself in an attempt to prevent misleading of innocent people.

What is Ken Harris saying to these claims?

Soon to be exposed ;-)

(What do you think would Nancy do if she'd have the chance to wipe out our memories and the back-up of the Admin-Ning with a button? lol.. go figure)
