

LAST EDITED: 15 / 06 / 2012

Hi,

I am a longtime, former Moderator and this is my story:

After copy & pasting this text and sending out a broadcast to all members, I will resign from my post, because I can no longer tolerate lying to thousands of innocent people, or furthermore support Nancy twisting facts and spreading her Mind-Manipulation!

Now some of you may wonder how this can be true for Nancy, and let me assure you, that **I will be able to prove the case and you will be able to reproduce the analysis.**

But firstoff, who am I? And why am I resigning in this matter?

Well, on the poleshift-Ning I was known as „Co S“, <http://poleshift.ning.com/profile/CoS> and I have been a Moderator for more than two years now. (As current and other former Moderators can confirm if needed).

I've been greatly involved in the overall design and organization of the Ning, such as the Mainlogo, the FAQ, most of the Moderatingstaff's posts and their design, or all the code based stuff (HTML, Javascript, JQuery, Twitter Widget..), creating the Facebookpage or such as being involved behind the scene with overall management. (If needed I can prove all of these statements).

Let me also say that everyone of the Moderation is 100% authentic (Nancy not included), and that all of these are wonderful people, caring people, from all over the world, from all ages and characters which form a great variety of talents.

Everyone of the current moderators (as far as I can tell), is believing what Nancy says and therefore all of them think that they are helping people in this matter, so they cannot be blamed for this.

Also I will respect their privacy and not reveal their Names, E-Mails or other details about them, because they really dont deserve this and dont matter in this case anyway.

SUCH AS I will need to be careful because Nancy is certainly aggressive right now and will try any means of stopping or countering the following information from being known, such as claiming Copyright complaints or other nonsense in this matter.

### **1. What happens when you catch Nancy lying**

Well, when one starts to carefully analyze Nancy's work, one will find out, that she cannot stand it.

Whenever you confront Nancy with disagreeing facts you will start getting to know her true nature.

And if you already suspected that Questioning ZetaTalk is not even allowed on the Admin-Ning (the Moderators have a separate Ning for communication), **you are perfectly on track!**

If Nancy gets confronted with the truth she either accepts it quietly, or she hunts you down by using her daily means of Manipulation:

- Bringing up your ego or human status (as she'd probably love to do in my case)
- or something with yourself as an argument (which is no argument at all),
- or letting you look bad in any way to put you on the defense,
- bring up previous „ZetaTalks“ to underline her „validity“ (though they mostly dont adress the case altogether),

- or suggesting the Moderators constant „Remote viewing attacks“ (as she hinted on this blog: <http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/new-zetataalk-on-psyhic-attacks-from-remote-viewers-are-you-a-key> ) to suggest them that any negative thoughts they have about ZT are not their own which lets them actively suppress them altogether,
- or constant suggestion of mind reading ET's in order to create more blockades in the mind to discard any further negative thoughts about Zetataalk,
- ....and many more techniques which are not so important at this point.

**In all:** Means of manipulation to stop you questioning ZT and blindly believe anything Nancy says.

To those „believers“ of ZT out there, you may ask yourself the question: Would you consider yourself, to be an independent thinker? Does your feeling go in the direction of „yes“? How can this be true when questioning ZT is in any case to be avoided (even with yourself)? Does this indicate honesty, transparency? No way.

Is Nancy really so rude? Would she really lie to innocent people and try to hide her „secret“, or could this be a BlackOps you may ask? Well of course she wants you to believe this, although it is unlikely that she will claim this because she knows very well, that I can instantly prove my case. (Also because other Moderators know me very well, I even came to meet some personally.)

Nancy is not dumb though, in fact extremely clever, so she already sensed that some discussions on the Admin-Ning may cause trouble and removed them accordingly. (Which she now has to explain to the remaining Moderators.) Beside I have backups, which she probably didn't expect at all.^^

So what would there be to hide, you may ask?

Well, Nancy removed the Admin-Neumayer- discussion (supposedly showing the Dark Twin) from the Moderators-Ning, because Nancy had to **alter ZetaTalk at that day, as some of you surely noticed**.

Because I proved her previous ZetaTalk wrong, and she had to admit, needing to write up New ZetaTalk..

Now you may ask yourself why there would be any reason to remove that posting, when all the analysis was carefully done and correlating with FACTS, that even Nancy had to accept and change official ZetaTalk, as current Moderators can confirm?

Are there space problems on the Admin Ning? No way.

SUCH AS, Nancy removed the most popular recent discussion on the Moderator's Ning, the Venus-Transit-discussion, where lots of Moderators participated in providing analysis and images that were again based on nothing but the truth.

Because it proved that Nancy had no idea what she was talking about, while crying wolf that „the path was wrong“, while it was not and can be proven. (as you will see later on)

SUCH AS it proved that Venus did exactly traveled as predicted. ( As can be seen both on SDO images and the resulting „Waveform“ on Proba2 which are aligned with the Sun's ecliptic).

And because it proved that when Nancy confirmed the „Venus Wobble“ per the Zetas, she was actually confirming the Proba-2 (SWAP) images as Kojima's ( a smart member's) images came a day later!

Beside there would be no reason at all to delete any of these discussions containing

careful analysis that were based on nothing but the **TRUTH!**

BUT, Nancy forgot to remove some other highly interesting Admin-discussions that the Moderators will be able to recap and analyse.

**SUCH AS, you will be able to confirm that ZetaTalk is not based on FACTS as will be obvious from the analysis below.**

Fortunately Nancy has provided official "ZetaTalk" on all of these matters, so there's no way she can let them all disappear!

**But lets go a step back for a moment:**

You may think what Im saying here cant be true, not for Nancy, not for ZetaTalk which has so much correlation?

Yes. Nancy laid her groundwork extremely careful and she turned out to be a master at presenting half-truths, or furthermore include unimaginable, sometimes even realistic additions/"predictions" to existing knowledge, which in most cases are statements that can't be verified nor be disproven( which make it impossible to analyse).

Such as she tries to appear to know what she's talking about which intimidates most people right off, as they think „Aw, its all too complicate to understand in detail for me“. Nancy managed to fill the niché for people who are noticing the earth changes, feeling they are not being told the full truth by the governments and of course people who have come across the alienpresence and hints of catalysms, by providing a whole „world-view“, the „Planet X theory“ which in the overall seems logically and consistent, but turns out to be **wrong in detail.**

Basically Nancy filled the niché for those looking „for the one real truth“, which unfortunately isn't so easy.

Alone assuming the information is relayed by highly-Intelligent Aliens make a difference as to let you read the „ZetaTalk“ in a different way where you question its "authenticity" less.

By imagining it could be all written up by an old, yet clever Lady ( which currently managed to get Moderators in **not allowing any critique at all**) you will see that its not so special anymore. At least nothing not a human wouldn't be able to do.

The Moderators are even suggested that they are being remote viewed by nasty Telepaths in order to exclude any responsibility of natural-critique within themselves.

Or her Black-Ops idea to instill fear and keep people on constant paranoia, while on the other side setting up more mental blockades, to have you avoid these cases and even justify them sometimes! (Which I won't detail now, because this is mostly applying to the Moderators only.)

However I will say that she claimed that many former Moderators are supposed to be "Black Operations" by the governments, yet these people are lively and can prove their identity if needed.

Nancy currently uses this means of manipulation to internally assure the focus of the Moderators to not question anything she claims.

So now, if you are a ZT believer and try to put all these assumptions and mental blockades aside for a moment, and re-read ZetaTalk (or the ZetaTalk accuracy) by assuming that Nancy studied the Questions and topics extensively and composed realistic predictions according on her Studies, you will again notice that **there's nothing special!**

No signs of unique mind-reading ET-knowledge! Where you have to discern because Nancy is implanting authentic information into her claims, to have her “foundation” looking seemingly correct and logical, while at the same time needs to implant these to be able “build further on this basis” in the future!

And as you will later see (you’ve almost arrived at the analysis) Nancy is **roughly accurate** but **WRONG in detail**.

Of course Nancy is a professional at what she does, but by being human she cannot be perfect, and her own standards she've assigned to her Fraud have turned out to be self-destructive.

She carefully hides any direct signs of cult-activity and this includes a seemingly missing motive altogether.

She has done her job so well, that it seems there always will be people who will buy Nancy's story and offer their blood and sweat for her „cause“.

Pretty sad in fact, though this is her little Power-Game over people, and she even gets financial assistance by willing „believers“, mostly rich guys who voluntarily feed her with money if necessary, though in exchange for personal ZetaTalk, by violating her own rules. (As Moderators can confirm if needed)


Anyway, to give you something **WATERPROOF, CONCRETE PROOF**, of Nancy's Fraud, please investigate the following Information in DETAIL and **draw your own conclusions**.

No need to tell you what you should believe, as you will be able to figure out for yourself. (Unlike Nancy who expects you to believe every little word she spouts without questioning it. :)

**When Nancy (the Zetas) Fail**

# Case 1: „Dark Twin sighted at Neumayer 3?“

<http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/dark-twin-capture>



Everyone of you should be familiar with this particular case, but I will go through it step-by-step again, by analysing it very carefully as we have some good evidence here.

As some of you may noticed and as I mentioned above, Nancy had to change official ZetaTalk at that day because she had no idea what she was talking about and my careful analysis proved her previous ZetaTalk wrong, which she had to admit and change official ZT accordingly.

(Which btw was not the only big mistake she made on that case, as you will see later on).

So lets recap how Nancy got to these Images and what she was thinking when she

recieved them.

Gordon (sorry can't post full names) send Nancy the original Neumayer-image with the proposed belief that the camera was pointing North, therefore would show an object in the western sky.

BUT

The camera was actually pointing South-East, instead of North, as Nancy originally assumed, writing her first ZT in this belief, which of course would turn out to be incorrect, and people noticed!

The "hated" debunkers (which fortunately have sharp eyes and do careful analysis) noticed it aswell! <http://www.zetasquawk.com/change.html>

>> ( Remember: Supposedly coming from Highly-Intelligent ET's that would MOST EASILY determine the real direction of a simple webcam of the popular Neumayer3 installation!)

Well, According to Nancy (the Zetas),

SOZT 4/13/12

Clearly not the Moon (which was nearly Full and in any case behind the cam) nor the Sun (as the object appears in the middle of the night just before and after midnight), this is a capture of the Dark Twin. The cam is **on the S Pole, looking North**, and as such the cam, or a human viewer, would be **looking at midnight** directly out into space on the dark side of Earth. **Looking to the left is looking West, which is where the Dark Twin rides.**

We had warned that the twin would tend to move BEHIND the Earth as these planets are squeezed in the cup, and thence escape by moving back into space behind the Earth.

**There are also other possibilities, one of which is to have the twin** pass the Earth and attempt to escape by moving forward in their shared orbit. At present, the twin is caught slightly behind the Earth in their shared orbit, and moving outside of their orbit back into space.


EOZT

Of course Nancy smelled „big news“ in that Neumayer-image, because it supposedly showed a Planet in the Western sky and accordingly she instantly jumped on the case as usual, not knowing she won't be able to hold ANY of these statements in the future.

Anyway, after a long discussion with Nancy (because she always assumes she's right, or furthermore doesn't like being questioned), I could finally convince (prove) her that the Webcam was in fact facing South-East and is showing a North-facing Building, as the neumayer website itself noted: [http://www.awi.de/NM\\_WebCam/](http://www.awi.de/NM_WebCam/)

*“View from the communications radome to the north side of the base.”*






Such as Google Earth showed it aligned with North:




Such as following the shadow confirmed the case:

Animation: <http://www.imagebanana.com/view/39bxacvt/NeumayerAnim.gif>



Or a rough analysis of the general direction of view:





Now you may ask, how can

„**Looking to the left is looking West, which is where the Dark Twin rides.**“ be true? Looking left, would be looking **East** not West. The opposite direction, of where the DT is supposed to ride.

So Nancy got caught wrong and needed to change official ZetaTalk, to have it correlating from that point of perspective (at least).

>>Remember: Information, supposedly, relayed by highly-intelligent Aliens, while her excuse was that „she was pooped all day, and had it wrong in her head“ which is not applicable at all since the Aliens are supposed to think and not her ^.

(And beside she just used that excuse as means of presenting herself as the “poor, busy, victim”- clever as she is.)

So a North-facing webcam > became a South-East facing webcam, plus further additions, actually conclusions, that she draw out of the careful analysis:

SOZT 4/13/12

Clearly not the Moon (which was nearly Full and in any case behind the cam) nor the Sun (as the object appears in the middle of the night just before and after midnight), this is a capture of the Dark Twin. The cam is **near the S Pole, looking at the North facing side of the structure**, and as such the cam, or a human viewer, would be **hanging upside down** looking directly out into space on the dark side of Earth. **Where the Dark Twin should be riding to the West, behind the Earth in their shared orbit, it appears to be almost overhead, directly behind and somewhat to the South of the Earth.**

We had warned that the twin would tend to move BEHIND the Earth as these planets are squeezed in the cup, and thence escape by moving back into space behind the Earth, **passing the Earth in their shared orbit. We mentioned these possibilities, that the Dark Twin would** pass the Earth and attempt to escape by moving forward in their shared orbit. At present, the twin is caught behind the Earth in their shared orbit, and moving **along** outside of their orbit **behind the Earth out in space. It is trying to evade conflict with the Earth by dropping below the Ecliptic somewhat, as it attempt to slide past the Earth.**

EOZT

Not a big deal, you may think. „Maybe Nancy got it so wrong that the Zetas relayed it wrong?“ Well I will leave you the favor of a doubt for the moment, but there's more evidence to uncover:

How about this comment, that a smart member (who was on the verge of being suspended for questioning the holy mother) made on the posting: Yaroslav,

<http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/dark-twin-capture>



Comment by yaroslav on April 13, 2012 at 10:20pm

x

looks like lens flare

Neunayer Station III, Antarctica

2012-04-13 GMT 08:48:02



Neunayer Station III, Antarctica

2012-04-13 GMT 08:50:01



Neunayer Station III, Antarctica

2012-04-13 GMT 01:00:02




 Edit

Looks like we have winner there! Did you see the star moving THROUGH „the Dark twin“?


It proves that this cannot be a physical object, otherwise the star would have been hidden by the DT!

Oh right, then Nancy wants to let us believe, this was „bended light“, that mysteriously made it around the building:



Beside this is a **contradiction to any logic**, here's the real reason for the „appearance of the dark twin“ on Neumayer 3, please watch this occurrence for yourself again: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cT7luegak1w>

The Moon leaves the webcam to the left, minutes before the „DT“ makes the appearance, which actually is a lenseflare, caused by the Moon as I illustrated in this drawing:



Confirmation that the bright object leaving the webcam to left is the Moon per skymap:



If you keep observing the Neumayer 3 Images, you will notice that this occurs frequently and numerous occurrences can be found easily. Go check for yourself occasionally!

vvvvvvvvvvvvv Continue to Case 2.

## Case 2: „Planet X components on SOHO EIT-171 ?! “



Per the Zetas, SOHO EIT-171 is showing Planet X components in the lower left sector, that are supposed to „**appear not to move, or barely so**“

Quoted from: <http://www.zetataalk.com/ning/14ap2012.htm>

„**This is indeed debris in the tail of Planet X, even though the debris on the EIT images appears not to move from frame to frame, moving only slightly in this or that direction.** „

„**Where at just the right distance from the satellite cameras, this fixed array will appear in the images, but appear not to move, or barely so.** „

„**the EIT slip on April 7 at 13:00 UTC (which is attempting to hide the Planet X components in the lower left sector)** „ - ZetaTalk

BUT, when someone goes to check the SOHO archives for these particular images (or any other timeframe), someone will find out that these Objects cannot be space debris and the ZT does not fit with any of the behaviour the REAL images show! **These are dirt on the lense of the SOHO satellite and can be proven without a doubt!**

In advance: Why did Nancy failed on this one? Again she was not able to understand the case well enough to be able to compose a fake-talk that would correlate with Reality.

As my analysis on the Moderator's Ning clearly led to the conclusion that something is not fitting there so she had to write up new ZetaTalk, once again, that was supposed to explain it by „electro-static charged debris“.

>> Remember, where ZT is supposed to be coming from? hehe


So the current ZT (<http://www.zetatalk.com/ning/14ap2012.htm>) as such, is actually originating from two pieces, that yet also fail utterly to comply with the behaviour the SOHO images are showing. (These darn images, they just dont want to fit with Nancy's phantasy! :P )

Nancy failed because she was not able to reproduce the steps needed, in order to OBVIOUSLY see, that this cannot be real objects but instead the supposed „PX debris“ belongs to dirt on the shielding of the camera-sensor of SOHO!  
(This really isnt all too easy to see, and the reason why she failed. Yet other Moderators were able to understand my analysis and coming to the same conclusion, **so you will be able to see what I mean.**)

This “layer” includes various artifacts that always maintain the same distance to each other, including straight lines, hundreds of „dots/dirt“, and a black dot that is always apparent, with the whole thing being occasionally flipped horizontally and vertically by exact 180° because the sattelite corrects its own tilt.

That said, you will **only** find the proposed „PX debris“/dirtlayer in two postures:


- Either lower left, moving from from 8 to 7 o'clock , tilting counter-clockwise (until a limit is reached and the sattelite performing the 180° flip),
- Or Upper right, moving from 1 to 2 o'clock, clockwise (until the limit is reached, performing the 180° flip, and the whole cycle start s again.)



As you can see below, sometimes the whole thing is hor/vert- flipped by perfect 180°, from one frame to another. (There are 12 hours difference between each EIT 171 frame). So Nancy wants to let you believe that this „PX debris“ flipped by exact 180° horizontally/vertically within 12 HOURS, needing to surpass the diameter of the sun at least, while not having a millimeter difference in the overall alignment because it is „charged“! (Note: The dirtlayer always remains the same, **for years now**).




2012/01/17 Upper Right:



**+ 12 hours to the previous**

2012/01/18 Lower left: Full hor/vert 180° flip



Nancy thought this was Planet X debris, because the overall dirtlayer is tilting which first gives the impression these dots are moving independently from the sun, accordingly she jumped on the case again assuming nobody could prove otherwise, Oops..

BUT she was not able to understand that the SOHO-sattelite ITSELF TILTS while always focusing the sun (by remaining aligned with the ecliptic), so the „dirt layer“ tilts together with the sattelite, giving the appearance these dots move indepdently from the sun. **But they don't, it is the sattelite which tilts!** (<http://sci.esa.int/science-e/www/object/index.cfm?fobjectid=34471> )

Beside ,already in Feb. 2010 people noticed this, on the Ning, and even back then it was showing the same behaviour already (being at the same spots).

<http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/on-soho-eit-171-latest-image>  
<http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/soho-eit-171-latest-image-not>


This really isnt all too easy to see and the simple reason why Nancy failed to make an accurate claim, once again.

Im sure though that you will be able to reproduce what Im saying here (as Moderators managed before, so dont worry! **You can check with your very own eyes!**)

To check, go on [http://sohodata.nascom.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/soho\\_movie\\_theater](http://sohodata.nascom.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/soho_movie_theater) SOHO archive,

- select EIT 171,
- Resolution 1024,
- select the last 50 images or a timeframe of your choice.
- Hit Search, and wait until the images finished loading.
- When the images finished loading, start clicking numerous times on faster.... and keep going until you notice it doesnt go any faster. (It really needs to fly by)

When you did that, check the upper right corner for the proposed “PX Space debris” (currently upper right, may be lower left if you select a different timeframe) and start focusing on those objects that barely move



You will then see, that the supposed „PX Debris“ is in fact, part of dirt sitting on the shielding of the lense, including various dots & straight lines that tilt in the postures I described above.

SOHO delivers their images every 12 hours, so occasionally you'll find that it will have performed the 180° flip from one frame to another, when the sattelite corrects its tilt.

So according to „ZetaTalk“/NancyTalk this would suggest that the whole supposed „electrostatic charged PX space debris “ has the periodicity of

- always being at the same spots (for years),
- having always the same behaviour (for years),
- same distance vs each other (for years),
- PLUS doing a perfect hor/vert 180° flip (jumping on the other side of the sun in less than 12 hours, without any change in the points mentoned above)

If that does still sound „realistic“ or „logic“ to you, you still haven't managed to awake from Nancy's charade of twisting facts.

**It is impossible, that this is Planet X space debris or furthermore, real space objects.**

### Case 3: „Venus transite wrong? “

<http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/venus-transit-june-5th-6th-surprise-resulted>  
<http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/venus-transit-wobble-more-new-zetataalk>


So what about the Venus transite, with Nancy wanting us to believe it went wrong, taking the wrong path, and even confirming a „wobble“?

Before analysing these claims lets recap that transite-day for a moment.

Nancy, Myself and other Moderators were following the Venus-transite live on various webcams, so she created an Admin-discussion to collect these images and analysis, to use them on the PS-Ning.

As proof, she also used my Image-overlay of the Keck-Observatory images:

<http://www.imagebanana.com/view/0pubkqyr/MKeaScreenshots.png>



Once again Nancy did not really had an idea what she was doing or claiming and quickly came to the conclusion the path must be wrong.

My webcam-images from the Keck-Observatory, or other Member's images first seemed to support this claim, while even nobody of us really was aware how to check if the transit was actually wrong or not. So again Nancy thought this would be a safe claim, that nobody would be able to debunk.

Furthermore, when she came across the „Venus wobble“ that many noted on the SWAP images, were Venus was seemingly showing weird wobble, **she confirmed it per the Zetas!** (A little too early as she realized later.)

I myself was bringing up the SWAP images as can be seen from the comments:

<http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/venus-transit-june-5th-6th-surprise-resulted>

Such as I later came to the conclusion that the „Wobble“ on the SWAP images are logically related to Proba2's orbit (which is orbiting earth vertically in 99.2min, while always focusing the Sun), as can be seen from my comments.

However Nancy was so busy, that she missed the point about Proba2's orbit and the new ZT resulted confirming the wobble: <http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/venus-transit-wobble-more-new-zetatalk>

In fact, she used my analysis from the admin-discussion as the basis for that very ZT (which she already deleted and I can prove if necessary) and was obviously preparing excuses for being questioned on certain matters.

What matters, you may be wondering?

Well I found out that the "Wobble" on the SWAP images are logically related to Proba2's orbit, which travels vertically around the earth and is always focusing the Sun.

Such as I noticed that when comparing the predicted path with the actual path, you need to align it with the ecliptic and you will see that Venus traveled as predicted, so she included these conclusions as "Establishment" claims in her new ZT:

Quoted from: <http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/venus-transit-wobble-more-new-zetatalk>

SOZT

*Venus is caught in the cup,*

<http://www.zetatalk.com/index/zeta202.htm>


*as we so long ago explained. This occurred because as Planet X passes the Sun it does so within the region of the orbits of Earth, Venus, and the Dark Twin. Planet X first crossed Earth's orbit in December, 2003 when the Earth encountered Planet X, halted her orbit due to this massive presence, and has subsequently been pushed back in her orbit by the retrograde motion of Planet X to what would normally be Earth's August position. As Venus and the Dark Twin came round in their orbits, they encountered this same obstacle and also halted. Venus has been moved back and forth in the cup, in order to simulate its normal orbit for Earthlings, in accordance with the Element of Doubt rule imposed to reduce panic in the trigger-happy establishment.*

*That said, what occurred during the June 5-6, 2012 transit of Venus? Venus was pushed into position at the start of the transit, as we mentioned, so as not to panic the establishment. By the time they realized the path was not going according to the PUBLISHED path, it was too late. Their anticipated path was already in the news, already in the hands of amateur astronomers, and cameras were recording all over the globe. To recover, the establishment tried to zoom on Venus, so the path could not be determined and **they will try to confuse the public by tilting the Sun in images so the path slants. But this will not succeed, as sunspots move with the Sun's Ecliptic, rotate with the Sun, so comparisons can be made. NASA cannot hide what happened.***

*What of the Venus wobble, noted by many, that seemed to take a wavy path across the face of the Sun. This is not apparent in a moment-by-moment view, but a rapid transit is obvious. **The establishment is quickly trying to explain this wobble as motion in Sun-centric satellites, which are claimed to move up and down and thus, being closer to Venus than the Sun, this makes it appear that Venus is moving. But the distance Venus would have to move to create this wobble SEVERAL times within the 6 hour transit is not feasible, nor does this occur.** Did Venus bobble up and down during the transit? It is struggling with mixed messages, one from the Sun to stay in its established orbit, another from Planet X to stay ABOVE a conflict with the gravity press from this monster just to the right. **The dither, or wave form, was real.***

EOZT

The only thing that Nancy was not able to contemplate at that time when she was writing that ZT, was that certain Sattelites do indeed travel faster around the earth than she was assuming originally. (As many of you surely can confirm her saying “that sattelites do not travel around the earth several times within a 6 hour period” - though they do! Proba2 travels once around the earth in 99,2min! 14.5 times in a day! ( <http://www.heavens-above.com/orbit.aspx?satid=36037> )



So a logical explanation became an „establishment lie“,

***„The establishment is quickly trying to explain this wobble as motion in Sun-centric satellites, which are claimed to move up and down and thus, being closer to Venus than the Sun, this makes it appear that Venus is moving. But the distance Venus would have to move to create this wobble SEVERAL times within the 6 hour transit is not feasible, nor does this occur.“***

Such as Nancy made a fortunate typo in this particular case, because she actually wanted to say, that Sattelites do not travel several times around the earth in a 6 hour period! THOUGH THEY DO!

***„ But the distance the satellite would have to move to create this wobble SEVERAL times within the 6 hour transit is not feasible, nor does this occur.“***

This was what she assumed originally and the ZT makes only sense when “Venus” is replaced with “the sattelite” in that sentence!

Where it should be noted that the public noted this aswell, as the sharp eyes of the debunkers prove: <http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message814739/pg1055>

So what could Nancy do?

Correcting the fortunate typo would clearly prove her wrong, so a members SDO images which came a day later turned out to be her lifesafer because it was less dramatic and was still showing a slight „curve“, so she could still say “the waveform wasreal”. Beside that slight curve is also related to SDO's orbit ;)

Currently the ZT is still containing the typo (Nancy's lifesaver) and a contradiction in itself,

***„But the distance Venus would have to move to create this wobble SEVERAL times within the 6 hour transit is not feasible, nor does this occur.“***


While, ***„The dither, or wave form, was real.“***

<http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/venus-transit-wobble-more-new-zetataalk>




## That said, was the Venus-transite really wrong?

At best you go check for yourself,  
SDO images (Geosynch. Orbit, showing a slight tilt naturally-> Nancy's current,  
confirmed "Venus wobble" ): <http://helioviewer.org/?movieId=QZ555>  
and the SWAP (Proba-2) Images showing the original Waveform (Sun centric orbit):  
<http://helioviewer.org/?movieId=sZ555>



and compare this to the following image, which the Proba2 guys calculated themselves,





Link Transit Simulation movie

<http://proba2.oma.be/index.html/>

<http://www.heavens-above.com/orbit.aspx?satid=36037>

and you will see that Venus **traveled exactly as predicted**, though Nancy of course tried to keep every possibilities open in advance:

From 19 March 2012:

<http://www.zetatalc.com/ning/19ma2012.htm>

*„Will the forthcoming transit of Venus across the Sun take place as expected, or will the Council of Worlds allow an exception to the **Element of Doubt rule**? As usual, we will not tip the hand of the Council of Worlds, though we have hinted that **surprises are likely** to occur. To date, eclipses and transits have been supported, the planets forced into a position to simulate what the public expects. At times, as leading into the April 8, 2005 solar eclipse, this pushing about has even been noted, the Moon out of position leading into and just after the eclipse. It was **too far north** on April 5, 2005 then **too far south** on April 25, 2005 then suddenly **too far north** again.*

*During the **June 8, 2004** transit of Venus the glare of the Sun blinded visibility of Planet X components, though odd dark orbs were noted on the face of the Sun. During the **July 22, 2009** solar eclipse in China, a giant UFO made an appearance. During the solar eclipse of **July 11, 2010** the establishment shut down all televised cameras the instant Planet X was to become visible. They clearly feared an appearance, unhindered by the glare of the Sun, though a **brief glimpse** of Planet X did indeed occur.*

***Yet on all occasions, the planets were pushed into the expected position so that the Element of Doubt could be maintained.** To date, this has been the pattern – allow the expected alignments to occur, but provide some evidence to break the cover-up over the presence of Planet X or the alien presence. Since the last transit or eclipse, the Council of Worlds has gone to war with the cover-up, promising surprises. During a transit, visible from a large swath of the world, many will be peering toward the Sun, watching closely. Will the establishment blind them all? Will they invent an asteroid swarm to explain any moons and debris in the tail of Planet X? Or will the transit fail to occur at all? All this remains to be seen. „*

## **Case 4: „The Alberto Red-Filter-Revolution?“**

What about the „Planet X images“ made around the world? What about the fab “red filter idea“?

Well, Alberto himself confirmed that his supposed „Moon swirls“ are only visible on the camera when the floppy is involved, so there’s nothing visible with naked eye.

([http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/sun-to-zenith-az-194-52-elev-59-57-2012-05-05-13-50-big-body-has?xg\\_source=activity](http://poleshift.ning.com/profiles/blogs/sun-to-zenith-az-194-52-elev-59-57-2012-05-05-13-50-big-body-has?xg_source=activity) )

Now you may ask yourself, how can such a bright object not be visible with naked eye? How can it be that Alberto manages to make pictures of it everyday, yet not even one Moderator was able to see it, despite hundreds of people around the world are watching

the Sun every second?

Well, of course there's a logical explanation too:

I made the following video a couple of days ago, with my Nokia mobile-phone and a simple floppydisk:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IKBFawdIXIo>

So what is to be seen there?

Well, the floppy, obviously, itself creates inner reflections that don't seem to act like lensflares **on the first glance** > i.e. The Sun and the supposed „Moon Swirls“, stay in place, as long as you keep the same angle between Floppy and camera.

**BUT**, as soon as you start „playing with the floppy“, like by holding it by hand or tapping with your fingers on it to change the angle, you will notice that it's all just an illusion and causing reflections!

The floppy ITSELF actually creates the Planet X/Moonswirl ghosts.

Such as Alberto who has likely taped the floppy to his phone of course will find his „Moonswirls“ always in the same spots because the angle between Floppy and phone is always the same!

And while of course when I made my video, there was NOTHING BUT THE SUN, NAKED EYE.

That said, the floppydisks produce lensflares and as such the „Floppy revolution“ is actually a „**Flare-o-illusion**“.

Dont believe me? **Take a camera and a Floppy and play with it yourself!**

## **Cases 4, 5, 6: “ Pending”**

Well, I will leave this ones- up to Nancy for now, to give her chance to be once honest in her life.. (although she is unlikely to admit any of this, as she invested more than 15 years of work into this Mind-Manipulation-Fraud and has so much empathy, as to lie to you flat in the face FOR YEARS, furthermore suggesting you matters to have you blindly following anything she says.

I've prepared **more surprises** in advance and I will be enjoying to help people uncover this masterpiece of Manipulation! And as such you should ask yourself, how was Nancy not able to predict THIS? ;)

Or, what is she going to SAY? Will it be reasonable? Will it be provable? Or will she twist facts once again?

**All this remains to be seen.**

That said, we're playing Chess, and this was my turn on your King: **CHECK!**

**As for Nancy,**

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aX0-iouVTuA>

Game over.

**As for the People,**

My final conclusions:

Everyone of the Moderators is 100% authentic and everyone of them (except Nancy) are righteous people.

I've greatly enjoyed working with these people and really will miss them in the future, **but I can no longer consciously lie to people and support Nancy twisting facts.**

In my Opinion the talents of the Moderator's are wasted for this attention-\*hole and the Ning would be best without her and her twisted version of Reality!

Realizing all this, of course was hard, such as realizing that I've been lied for years and wasted a lot of time and resources, crying wolf and clinging to her „truth“, while misleading people and bringing them into the spider's net at the same time.

Afterall, I can do a little good, in sharing all this information with you. And PLEASE SHARE THIS AND LET THIS BE KNOWN TO EVERYONE!

*What about all the weird stuff going on, you may ask?*

**Of course something is going on with Earth**, without a doubt, as can be seen by daily broken weather records, genuine UFO's, or genuine CC's, however Nancy turned out to be a liar, manipulator and as such I can discard ZetaTalk's validity for myself, **without a doubt.**

Afterall, SHE wants to be in the Media all the time.

SHE wants to be the center of attention as the ONE EMISSARY.

SHE wants to be the star with HER VERSION OF THE TRUTH.

SHE betrays people to let them believe that she is UNIQUE and in contact with Aliens, while she fails on simple matters! (Like these darn planetary movements which just dont want to comply with her statements, a la „Earth stopped in its orbit“, or „Venus, DT caught in the Cup“, yet Venus is exactly where it is supposed to be.. for years. ;)

Nancy is nothing but another poor soul wanting the stage and this took me far too long to realize...though it needs to be said that she surely is one of a kind with “ZT” and would probably belong to the best manipulated stories someone can encounter.

*What is to be expected from Nancy?*

She will come-up with some reason to discard what I am writing here, not adress it at all, or claim that im a blackOps or some other BS (which is unlikely to occur because she knows I can prove my identity if needed).

Or she might be claiming that I was being „Remove viewed“ and was set on a mission to spread „Doubts“ among the Moderators and the public, so basically her usual mind-tricks to dont get you question it altogether or discard any more research.

She will say anything, **but the truth**,

such as she will keep continuing to bend her predictions over and over, like she is currently doing with the 7/10 predictions. ( <http://www.zetatalk.com/ning/02ju2012.htm> ) (No strong quakes in SA anymore, No EU tsunami anymore, No NM-adjustment and this all because of “the invisible misterious metalboxes” that nobody can see or measure ;)

That said, I don't know if any of you will be able to read this with an open mind, because I know that many are under pressure, because they've dedicated their life for it and

already invested so much efforts into this fraud, that it surely isnt an easy thing to swallow..

However, if anyone needs me, or my support in this matter, I will be there to counter the disinfo. And whoever twists the truth: COUNT ON ME!

Now you know her little secret and if you managed to see through her game, you should be even able to predict her next moves. ;)

I hope I could help you a little with this insights and I will follow the progress and update if necessary. FEEL FREE TO SHARE THIS KNOWLEDGE.

Take care folks. My best of luck and always think indepdently!  
**Never let someone else decide what you should believe!**  
**THINK CRITICAL! - connect the dots.**

Thanks,  
Co S